



# DOUBLE CATEGORIES OF RELATIONS RELATIVE TO FACTORIZATION SYSTEMS

## (A SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE)

KEISUKE HOSHINO AND HAYATO NASU

### 1. DEFINITIONS

**Definition 1.** By a (*pseudo-*)double category  $\mathbb{D}$ , we mean a pseudo-category in the 2-category  $\mathcal{CAT}$  of locally small categories. In other words, a double category consists of two (locally small) categories  $\mathbb{D}_0, \mathbb{D}_1$  and functors

$$\mathbb{D}_1 \times_{\text{tgt} \times \text{src}} \mathbb{D}_1 \xrightarrow{\odot} \mathbb{D}_1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{src}} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{Id}} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{tgt}} \end{array} \mathbb{D}_0 .$$

These data come equipped with natural isomorphisms that stand for the associativity law and the unit laws.

Objects and arrows of  $\mathbb{D}_0$  are called *objects* and *vertical arrows* of the double category  $\mathbb{D}$ . We use the notation  $f \circledast g$  for the composition of  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$  in  $\mathbb{D}_0$ . An object  $p$  of  $\mathbb{D}_1$  whose values of  $\text{src}$  and  $\text{tgt}$  are  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, is called a *horizontal arrow*<sup>1</sup> from  $A$  to  $B$ , and written as  $p: A \rightrightarrows B$ . We use the notation  $p \odot q$ , or simply  $pq$ , for the composite of  $p: A \rightrightarrows B$  and  $q: B \rightrightarrows C$  in  $\mathbb{D}_1$ . An arrow  $\alpha: p \rightarrow q$  in  $\mathbb{D}_1$  is called a *double cell* (or merely a *cell*) in the double category  $\mathbb{D}$ . This cell is drawn as below, where  $\text{src}(\alpha) = p$  and  $\text{tgt}(\alpha) = q$ .

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{p} & B \\ f \downarrow & \alpha & \downarrow g \\ C & \xrightarrow{q} & D \end{array}$$

■

**Definition 2** ([HN25, Definition 2.2.1]). An orthogonal factorisation system (OFS) on a category  $\mathbf{C}$  consists of a pair  $(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{M})$  of classes of arrows in  $\mathbf{C}$  that satisfies the following conditions:

- i)  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{M}$  are closed under composition and contain isomorphisms.
- ii) Every arrow  $e: X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathbf{E}$  is left orthogonal to every arrow  $m: A \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathbf{M}$ ; that is, every commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & A \\ e \downarrow & \nearrow f & \downarrow m \\ Y & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

has a unique diagonal filler  $f: Y \rightarrow A$  that makes two triangles commute.

- iii) Every arrow  $f$  in  $\mathbf{C}$  factors as  $f = e \circledast m$  where  $e$  belongs to  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $m$  belongs to  $\mathbf{M}$ .

$\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{M}$  are called *the left class* and *the right class* of the OFS, respectively. For a factorisation of  $f$  as  $f = e \circledast m$ , we say  $m$  is the *M-image* of  $f$  if  $e \in \mathbf{E}$  and  $m \in \mathbf{M}$ .

An OFS  $(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{M})$  is a *stable orthogonal factorisation system (SOFS)* if  $\mathbf{E}$  is stable under pullback. We only treat orthogonal factorisation systems, and so we omit the adjective ‘orthogonal’ in the sequel. ■

---

*Date:* May 8, 2025.

<sup>1</sup>Note that in a significant portion of the literature, vertical arrows are referred to as what we designate as horizontal arrows, and vice versa. The difference cannot be dismissed since only one class of arrows requires strict associativity and unit laws.

## 2. MAIN RESULT AND ADDITIONAL REMARKS

**Definition 3** ([HN25, Definition 3.3.2]). In a double category  $\mathbb{D}$  with the vertical terminal  $1$ , we say a vertical morphism  $f: A \rightarrow X$  is a **fibration** if there exists a horizontal arrow  $p: X \rightarrow 1$  and a tabulating cell

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & A & \\ f \swarrow & & \searrow ! \\ X & \xrightarrow[p]{} & 1 \\ & \text{tab} & \end{array}.$$

We write  $\text{Fib}(\mathbb{D})$  for the class of fibrations in  $\mathbb{D}$ . ■

**Theorem 4** ([HN25, Full version, Theorem 3.3.20]). *The following are equivalent for a double category  $\mathbb{D}$ .*

- i)  $\mathbb{D}$  is equivalent to  $\mathbb{R}\text{el}_{\mathbf{E},\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{C})$  for some category  $\mathbf{C}$  with finite limits and a stable factorisation system  $(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{M})$  on  $\mathbf{C}$ .
- ii)  $\mathbb{D}$  is a cartesian equipment with Beck-Chevalley pullbacks and an  $\mathbf{M}$ -comprehension scheme for some stable system  $\mathbf{M}$  on  $\mathbf{V}(\mathbb{D})$ .
- iii)  $\mathbb{D}$  is a cartesian equipment with Beck-Chevalley pullbacks and a left-sided  $\mathbf{M}$ -comprehension scheme for some stable system  $\mathbf{M}$  on  $\mathbf{V}(\mathbb{D})$ .
- iv)  $\text{Fib}(\mathbb{D})$  is closed under composition, and  $\mathbb{D}$  is a cartesian equipment with Beck-Chevalley pullbacks and strong tabulators.
- v)  $\text{Fib}(\mathbb{D})$  is closed under composition, and  $\mathbb{D}$  is a cartesian equipment with Beck-Chevalley pullbacks and left-sided strong tabulators.

In relation to the additional remarks section in the poster, we have the correspondence [Table 1](#).

SOFSs on finitely complete categories	Double categories of relations (DCRs)

TABLE 1. Correspondence between classes of stable orthogonal factorization systems and double categories of relations.

**Definition 5** ([HN25, Definition 2.2.1]). We say it is **right-proper** if  $\mathbf{M}$  is a subclass of the class of all monomorphisms, **left-proper** if  $\mathbf{E}$  is a subclass of the class of epimorphisms, and **proper** if it is both right-proper and left-proper. Furthermore, we call it **anti-right-proper** if the class of monomorphisms is a subclass of  $\mathbf{M}$ . ■

**Definition 6** ([Ale18, Definition 4.3.7],[HN25, Definition 4.1.1]). A double category  $\mathbb{D}$  is called **unit-pure** if  $\text{Id}: \mathbb{D}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_1$  is fully faithful. In more concrete terms,  $\mathbb{D}$  is unit-pure if every cell of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_X} & X \\ f \downarrow & \cdot & \downarrow g \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_Y} & Y \end{array}$$

is an identity cell, implying  $f$  and  $g$  are equal. ■

**Definition 7** ([HN25, Definition 4.1.7]). Let  $\mathbb{D}$  be a double category. We say that  $\mathbb{D}$  is **locally preordered** if there must be at most one cell framed by a pair of vertical arrows and a pair of horizontal arrows. We say that  $\mathbb{D}$  is **locally posetal** if it is locally preordered and the vertical 2-category  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbb{D})$  is locally posetal. ■

In some papers [GP99, Ště24], a locally preordered double category is called a **flat double category**.

## REFERENCES

- [Ale18] E. Aleiferi. *Cartesian double categories with an emphasis on characterizing spans*. PhD thesis, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, 2018, [1809.06940](#).
- [GP99] M. Grandis and R. Pare. Limits in double categories. *Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle Catég.*, 40(3):162–220, 1999.
- [HN25] K. Hoshino and H. Nasu. Double Categories of Relations Relative to Factorisation Systems. *Appl. Categ. Structures*, 33(2):Paper No. 11, 2025. [doi:10.1007/s10485-025-09799-y](#).
- [Ště24] M. Štěpán. Factorization systems and double categories. *Theory Appl. Categ.*, 41:Paper No. 18, 551–592, 2024.  
*Email address:* [hoshinok@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp](mailto:hoshinok@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp)  
*Email address:* [hnasu@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp](mailto:hnasu@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp)

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY